# Lectures 6-7 Valence Shell Photoelectron Spectroscopy



a) The spectrum : this work. The *I*<sub>v</sub>'s : Turner *et al.* (215). See also other works : Carlson and Jonas (54) ; Kemeny *et al.* (126) ; and Branton *et al.* (37).



a) The spectrum : this work. The  $I_v$ 's : Turner *et al.* (215). See also other works : Kemeny *et al.* (126) ; and Carlson and Jonas (54).



a) The spectrum : this work. The  $I_v$ 's : Turner *et al.* (215). See also other works : Kemeny *et al.* (126) and Carlson and Jonas (54).

Spin-orbit coupling constant,  $\varsigma = [(Z e^2/8\pi\epsilon_0 m_e^2 c^2) (Z/a_0)^3]/[n^3l (l + 1/2) (l+1) hc]$ 

=  $\alpha^2 R_{\infty} Z^4 / [n^3 I(I+1/2) (I + 1)];$ proportional to  $Z^4$ 

 $\alpha$ - fine structure constant

 $e^{2}/[4\pi\epsilon_{0}\hbar C] = 1/137.03604$ 



a) The spectrum : this work. The Iv's : Turner and May (215a). See also other works
: Turner (214); Turner et al. (215); Åsbrink (3); Cornford et al. (67); Niehaus and Ruf (167); Shaw and Berry (204); Carlson and Jonas (54); Berkowitz and Spohr (23); and Lee and Rabalais (149).

#### **Vibrational Structure**



 $f_{ywe}$  20.12 Potential energy curves for a molecule and one of its ionized states, ibstrating the 'vertical' nature of the photoionization process and the production of the molecule-ion in different vibrational states.



(1 + 1)

Figure 20.13 The form of the vibrational fine structure of a PE band when photoionization occasions very little change of molecular dimensions



Figure 20.14 Typical vibrational line structure for a PE band when there is a significant change in molecular dimensions upon photoionization.



#### Franck – Condon Factor



Figure 26.1. Photoelectron spectra of  $D_2$ , HD and  $H_2$  obtained using He resonance radiation. The numbers in the  $H_2$  spectrum denote the vibrational quantum number of the ionised state (Berkowitz and Spohr 1973).

Dipole approximation, probability  $\alpha <\phi|er|\phi^+|>^2 \phi = \Psi\Theta$  Born – oppenheimer approximation

 $\langle \phi | er | \phi^+ \rangle = \langle \Psi | er | \Psi^* \rangle \langle \Theta | \Theta \rangle$ 

er is electronic operator, acts only on the electronic part of the wave function.

Franck – Condon factor  $<\Theta|\Theta>^2$ 

FC factors predict intensities accurately. Deficiencies due to neglect of rotations, neglect of polarisation of ion by photoelectron, deviation of neutral molecule from equilibrium geometry by zero point motion and failure of Born – Oppenheimer approximation

	n(ion)	1(eV)	Experimental intensity		
Molecule			(Berkowitz and Spohr 1973)	(Gardner and Samson 1976)	<ul> <li>Franck (Condon factor) (Gardner and Samson 1976)</li> </ul>
11,	0	15-4254	0.463	0.4.18	0.521
-	1	15-6971	0.863	0.922	0.918
	2	15-9530	1-000	1-000	1.000
	3	16-1936	0.884	0.969	0.879
	4	16-4194	0-700	0.713	0.689
	5	16.6308	0.579	0.572	0.508
	6	16-8281	0.472	0.423	0.361
	7	17:0113	0.313	0-308	0.253
	ĸ	17-1808	0-241	0.208	0-176
	9	17-3365	0-137	0.132	0-122
	10	17-4783		0.089	0.085
	11	17.0061+		0.058	0.060
	12			0.039	0.042
	13			0.024	0.030
	14			0.015	0-024
-	15			0.005	0.014
HD	0	15-444	0-300		0-402
	1	15.682	0.676		0.818
	2	15-907	1.000		1-000
	3	16-120	0.975		0.965
	4	16-329	0.963		0.816
	5	16:515	0.810		0.638
	6	16-710	0.634		0.475
	7	16-880	0.407		0-344
	8	17-040	0.264		0-245
	9	17-185	0.218		0-173
	10	17-330	0-160		0.123
D <sub>2</sub>	0	15-466	0-230		0-260
	1	15:664	0.538		0.648
	2	15 854	0.812		0.940
	3	16:032	0.950		1-048
	4	16-206	1.000		1-000
	5	16:371	0.928		0.864
	6	16:528	0.756		0-699
	7	16-680	0.624		0.541
	8	16-824	0-487		0-407
	9	16.962	0-342		0-300
	10	17-087	0.270		0-219

Table 26.1. Ionisation energies, experimental intensities and calculated Franck. Condon factors for the ionisation of H<sub>2</sub>, HD and D<sub>2</sub> (Berkowitz and Spohr 1973, Gardner and Samson 1976).

## **Vibrational Interval**



Figure 27.1. Plots of the vibrational interval against the vibrational quantum number, *n*, for the  ${}^{2}\Sigma_{\mu}^{*}$  bands of H<sub>2</sub>, HD and D<sub>2</sub>,  $\bigcirc$  H<sub>2</sub>;  $\bigtriangledown$  HD; ( | D<sub>2</sub>,

For  $H_2^+$ , D = 2.648 eV D = 12  $\omega_0 n_D$ 

For  $H_2^+ n_D = 19$  and  $\omega_0 = 0.2712$ 

D = 1/2 x 19 x 0.2712 = 2.58 eV



Fig. 4.2. Valence electron spectra of oxygen using HeI and Mg K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> sources [4, 5].

Spin multiplicities  $\pi_{g} \alpha p \rightarrow {}^{2}\pi$   $\pi_{n} \alpha p \rightarrow {}^{2}\pi, {}^{4}\pi$ Energy loss shake up



Figure 20.18 The first band in the PE spectrum of  $O_2$  excited by Ne 1 (hv = 16.85 eV) radiation. (The spectrum has been stripped of equivalent structure due to ionization by the less intense Ne I line having hv = 16.67 eV.)





Fig. 5-18. He I photoelectron spectra of (a) F<sub>2</sub> and (b) Br<sub>2</sub>, showing fine structure of the lowest ionic states. From A.B. Conford, D.C. Frost, C.A. McDowell, J.L. Ragle, and I.A. Stenhouse, J. Chem. Phys., 54, 2651 (1971).





Figure 4.33 The occupied orbitals of the water molecules illustrated in terms of their constituent atomic orbitals



Figure 32.2. (a) The photoelectron spectrum of  $H_2S$  in the region of ionisation of the  $3a_1$  electron'c orbital (cf figure 17.2). The inversion barrier is surmounted at 13.3 eV, where a halving of the vibrational interval is seen (Potts and Price 1972). (b) Schematic potential energy plot of the ground state of  $H_2S$  and he  $3a_1^{-1}$  state of  $H_2S'$ . The adiabatic and vertical ionisation energies are shown.

## **Vibrational Inversion**



Figure 32.1. (a) Potential energy curve in the presence of an inversion mode of vibration. (b) On the right-hand side is drawn the n = 2 vibrational mode, and on the left-hand side a pair of the same modes have interacted in-phase and out-of-phase. Interaction takes place near and above the central barrier to inversion.

No interaction when n = 0. At high amplitudes the wavefunctions mix either in phase or out of phase. A pair produces two independent functions which are non degenerate.

```
H_2S, H_2O 3a_1 linear, H_2Se, H_2T_e
887 cm<sup>-1</sup> Vs 1595 cm<sup>-1</sup>
```

```
NH<sub>3</sub>, PH<sub>3</sub>, AsH<sub>3</sub>, Sb H<sub>3</sub>
```

950 (NH<sub>3</sub>) 992 (PH<sub>3</sub>)489; 906 (A<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)452 ; 781 (SbH<sub>3</sub>) 387



Figure 32.2. (a) The photoelectron spectrum of  $H_2S$  in the region of ionisation of the  $3a_1$  electronic orbital (cf figure 17.2). The inversion barrier is surmounted at 13-3 eV, where a halving of the vibrational interval is seen (Potts and Price 1972). (b) Schematic potential energy plot of the ground state of  $H_2S$  and the  $3a_1^{-1}$  state of  $H_2S^-$ . The adiabatic and vertical ionisation energies are shown.





Figure 32.3. The photoelectron spectrum of ammonia in the region of ionisation of the  $3a_1$  band (cf figure 17.2) showing two progressions of the inversion mode,  $\nu_2$ . The weaker of the two progressions is picked out by the vertical bars at the lower left of the figure; this probably arises from one quantum of  $\nu_1$  plus the  $\nu_2$  quanta (Rabalais *et al* 1972).

#### Jahn – Teller effect



#### Walsh diagram of water

Static and dynamic Jahn-Teller effects



 $b_2$  C − C − C asymmetric stretch →  $C_{2v}$  $b_1$  torsional mode →  $D_2$  (except when θ = 0 which makes it to  $D_2h$ )

Jahn – Teller splitting of  $2e \sim 0.6 \text{ eV}$ . Which means  $\theta$  to decrease by  $12^{\circ}$ .

Calculations indicate that  $\theta$  = 38<sup>0</sup> in the 2e<sup>-1</sup> state

1e splits only to a lesser extent

## Jahn – Teller active vibrations

 $\langle \Psi_i | H_0 | \Psi_i \rangle = E_0 \text{ if } i = j$  $H = H_0 + v'Q + v''Q^2 + ...$  Q = displacement vector

Q leads to new eigenfunctions.  $\xi_i = \sum C_i \Psi_i$ 

Neglecting higher order terms, this gives secular equations,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_0 - E & v'Q \\ & & \\ v'Q & H_0 - E \end{array} = 0$$

E = Eo  $\pm \langle \Psi_1 | \nu' Q | \Psi_2 \rangle$ 

If the second term is finite, the energy levels split. This will happen if the direct product of  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  contains an reducible representation as Q.

For the  $D_{2d}$  point group, (e x e) direct product gives  $A_{1,} A_2$ ,  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ . Thus  $A_2$ ,  $B_2$  and  $B_1$  vibrations can be John-Teller active.

 $A_2$  is not a pure vibration, it has rotational symmetry.



Figure 33.2. (a) The equilibrium geometry of allene. The C-C-C assembly is linear and the dihedral angle.  $\theta$ , between the planes defined by the CH<sub>2</sub> groups is 90°. The point group is D<sub>24</sub>, and the x axis lies perpendicular to the C-C-C axis and bisects the dihedral angle. (b) and (c) Lobes of the molecular orbitals of e symmetry.



Figure 33.1. The photoelectron spectrum of allene. (a) The second and third bands (Turner et al. 1970). (b) The first bands of allene (curve A); allene-1.1-D<sub>2</sub> (curve B), and allene-D<sub>4</sub> (curve C) (Thomas and Thompson 1974). The first bands show evidence of the dynamic Jahn-Teller effect as there are two humps at 10.0 and 10.6 eV.



 $1sa_1^2 2sa_1^2 2pt_2^6$   $1A_1$ 





Figure 35.1. The upper occupied orbitals of cyclopropane. Doubly hatched lobes are of the opposite sign to those that are singly hatched.



Figure 35.2. (a) e' vibrations produce the maximum Jahn-Teller splitting for the distortion from equilateral to isosceles geometry. (b) The axially symmetric energy surfaces obtained with equation (34.3) with the addition of a term for the Hooke's law energy,  $\frac{1}{2}kQ^2$ . Two surfaces are seen to become degenerate at the z axis. (c) Potential energy surfaces of trigonal symmetry obtained from equation (35.4) (Longuet-Higgins 1961).



Figure 35.3. (a) The photoelectron spectrum of cyclopropane (gas phase) at 20-21 eV (Basch *et al.* 1969). (b) Walsh diagram for cyclopropane (Buenker and Peyerimhoff 1974). The energy eigenvalues of the orbitals are plotted **against the** C-C-C angle.

## **Complex Molecules**



Theory





Figure 20.56 He II PE spectra of  $H_2O$  and a number of alcohols and ethers.

### **Complex molecules**



a) Kimura et al. (129a). See also other works: Potts et al. (176); Ragle et al. (192); Turner (213); Uehara et al. (216); and Dromey and Peel (86).



a) Kimura et al. (129a). See also other works: Dromey and Peel (86); Boschi and Salahub (34); Baker et al. (9); and Kimura et al. (129).

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_0.jpeg)

a) Kimura et al. (129a). See also other work : Streets and Ceasar (206).

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

a) Kimura et al. (129a). See also other work : Streets and Ceasar (206).

![](_page_46_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_0.jpeg)

From an evaluation of molecular orbitals, it is possible to assign the photoelectron spectrum. Cross sections of orbitals vary substantially and therefore, intensities are different. Cross sections for 2s subshell is an order of magnitude larger than for 2p for Mg  $K_{\alpha}$ . This is known from photoionization studies of Ne. Looking at the Mg K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> spectrum, it is seen that  $\sigma_n$ 2s has largest 2s character. The 2s character is in the order  $\sigma_u 2s > \sigma_a 2s > \sigma_a 2p$ .  $\pi_u 2p$  cannot have s character due to symmetry reasons.  $\sigma_a 2s$  is broad  $\rightarrow$  vibrational, Coster- Kronig. Peaks marked by arrows are not due to direct photoemission.

1. shake-up of  $\sigma_u 2s$  2. MgK $\alpha_{3,4}$  3. Energy loss of  $\sigma_u 2s.000$